

Remarks/Arguments

In the March 23, 2006 office action, claims 1 and 3-4 were rejected under section 102(b) as being anticipated by McIntosh (US 3,030,101), claims 2, 6-7 and 11 were rejected under section 103(a) as being unpatentable over McIntosh, claims 5 and 8-10 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and claims 12-20 were allowed.

In response to the office action, claims 1-4, 6-7 and 11 are cancelled. Claims 5 and 8-9 are amended by rewriting them in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 10 is dependent on rewritten claim 9 and should be allowable in that form.

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 12-20.

It is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and allowance of claims 5 and 8-10 is respectfully requested, in addition to previously allowed claims 12-20.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,




Attorney for Applicant(s)

Stephen D. Dellett
Reg. No. 32,564
Patent Department
Deere & Company
One John Deere Place
Moline, IL 61265
Telephone No. (309) 765-4232

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on: 5/31/06
Date

Deere & Company



Signature Date 5/31/06